

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/175,522		10/20/1998	PAUL STEPHAN BEDROSIAN	L0012/7001	7010	
26291	7590	06/03/2004		EXAMINER		
•		SON & SHERIDA AVE, STE 100	PHAN, HANH			
FIRST FLO		AVE, SIE 100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSB	URY, NJ	J 07702	2633	1/6		
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						: <u></u>		
Office Action Summary			oplication No.		Applicant(s)	;		
			9/175,522		BEDROSIAN, PAUL STEPH			
			caminer		Art Unit	:		
Ť			anh Phan		2633			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communi ply	cation appears	s on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO. ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30 of for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the term of the second of the	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. days, a reply with utory period will ap will, by statute, caus	. In no event, how in the statutory mipply and will expire se the application to the second s	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status						:		
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>20 Octob</u>	oer 1998.					
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This act	ion is non-fin	al.				
3)☐ Sinc	e this application is in condition f	or allowance	except for fo	rmal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is		
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	f Claims					; :		
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.						
	Of the above claim(s) is/ar		rom conside	ation.				
5)∭ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-8,16 and 17</u> is/are rejecte	d.						
7)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>9-15 and 18-21</u> is/are objec	ted to.						
8)∭ Clai	m(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ection require	ment.				
Application P	apers							
9) The :	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepte	ed or b) ob	ected to by the E	xaminer.	:		
Appl	icant may not request that any objec	tion to the draw	ving(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Repl	acement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction i	s required if th	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11) <u></u> The ∈	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	iner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119					i · ; ;		
12) <u></u> Ackn a) <u></u> Al	owledgment is made of a claim f b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign pric	ority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1.	Certified copies of the priority of	locuments ha	ive been rece	eived.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority of	locuments ha	ive been rece	eived in Application	on No			
3.	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation				d in this National	Stage		
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action				d.			
			• • • • •					
Attachment(s)								
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗆	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	•		
2) D Notice of D	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P			Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te) 450)		
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or February)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) <u> </u> 6) <u> </u>	Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC)-152) :		

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 03/17/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cheong et al. (US Patent No. 6,477,154).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Figure 2, Cheong discloses an apparatus for providing synchronization signals to a telecommunications network comprising:

a central synchronization management unit (i.e., micro base station controller mBSC, Fig. 2) for distributing synchronization signals (i.e., the reference clock signals, for example 10Mhz, generated from the GPS receiver 212 of mBSC, Fig. 2), and

a synchronization distribution unit (i.e., optical splitter or optical node 214, Fig. 2) connected to receive synchronization signals from the central synchronization management unit (i.e., mBSC, Fig. 2) and to distribute the signals to at least one network element (i.e., micro base station mBS 216, 221 and 225, Fig. 2)(see col. 5, line 19-67, col. 6, lines 1-27 and lines 54-67 and col. 7, lines 1-24).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 2, Cheong further teaches the synchronization signals are optical signals (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Cheong further teaches the central synchronization management unit comprises an input port for receiving a clock signal and an optical processor for producing optical clock signals (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheong et al. (US Patent No. 6,477,154) in view of Moulton et al. (US Patent No. 6,487,262).

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Cheong differs from claims 4 and 16 in that he fails to teach a processor for retiming clock signals received at the input port. However, Moulton teaches a processor for retiming clock signals received at the input port (Figs. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 35-67, col. 4, lines 1-67 and col. 5, lines 1-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the processor for retiming clock signals received at the input port as taught by Moulton in the system of Cheong. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Moulton suggests in column 3, lines 35-67, col. 4, lines 1-67

Art Unit: 2633

and col. 5, lines 1-20 that using such a processor for retiming clock signals received at the input port has advantage of allowing retiming and reshaping the signal and to reduce the distortion of signal and reduce the signal errors.

6. Claims 5-8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheong et al. (US Patent No. 6,477,154) in view of Moulton et al. (US Patent No. 6,487,262) and further in view of Walter et al. (US Patent No. 6,418,151).

Regarding claims 5 and 17, the combination of Cheong and Moulton differs from claims 5 and 17 in that it fails a plurality of clock sources. However, Walter teaches a plurality of clock sources (i.e., a plurality of clock sources such as PRC1 and PRC2, Figs. 2-4, col. 1, lines 5-62, col. 2, lines 20-67 and col. 3, lines 1-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the plurality of clock sources as taught by Walter in the system of the combination of Cheong and Moulton. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Walter suggests in column 1, lines 5-62, col. 2, lines 20-67 and col. 3, lines 1-56 that using such the plurality of clock sources have advantage of allowing increasing the availability of the clock sources to provide better protection against external influences and to guarantee the highest availability.

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Cheong, Moulton and Walter teaches the central synchronization management unit selects one of a plurality of input clock signals as a primary clock output signal (i.e., PRC1 of Walter, Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Cheong, Moulton and Walter teaches the central synchronization management unit produces a plurality of optical clock output signals (Fig. 2 of Cheong and Fig. 4 of Walter).

Regarding claim 8, Cheong further teaches the synchronization distribution unit comprises a passive optical input port configured to receive an optical clock signal and to split the optical clock signal into two signals, routing one of the split signals to an optical output (Fig. 2 of Cheong).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9-15 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (703)306-5840.

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Hanh Phan

05/26/2004